

Fellowship

Applicant guidance notes



Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Higher Education and eligibility for Fellowship	4
2. The Professional Standards Framework 2023 (PSF 2023).....	5
3. Developing your application.....	9
Step 1	9
Step 2.....	10
Step 3.....	10
4. Your Account of Professional Practice	11
4.1 Your Account of Professional Practice is a personal account.....	12
4.2 Context Statement.....	12
4.3 Structuring your Reflective Narrative.....	14
4.4 Reflective Narrative word limit.....	15
4.5 Reflect on your practice throughout your Reflective Narrative.....	15
4.6 Reference list.....	16
5. Evidencing the required PSF 2023 Dimensions for Descriptor 2	17
5.1 Examples of practice for each Area of Activity	18
6. Supporting Statements	24
6.1 Guidance for referees.....	24
7. Submitting your Fellowship application via MyAdvanceHE.....	26
7.1 Application submission process:	26
7.2 Payment for your application.....	27
7.3 If your institution is paying on your behalf	27
8. How will your application be reviewed?	28
8.1 How do the reviewers reach their judgement?	28
8.2 How long will the review process take?.....	28
8.3 Review process and possible outcomes	29
8.4 Outcome of first review.....	30
8.5 Outcome of second review (resubmission)	30
9. What if I have other questions?	31

1. Introduction

These guidance notes are for individuals wishing to make a **direct application to Advance HE for Fellowship using the Professional Standards Framework (PSF 2023)**. If you are applying for Fellowship through an Advance HE accredited programme/scheme, then please refer to the guidance provided by your institution.

This document explains Advance HE requirements for Fellowship (Descriptor 2) and provides guidance to support you to develop your application.

Fellowship (Descriptor 2) is the appropriate category of fellowship to professionally recognise individuals from a wide variety of different contexts whose **higher education (HE)** teaching and/or support for learning practice enables them to **evidence all 15 of the PSF 2023 Dimensions** to meet the requirements of PSF 2023 Descriptor 2.

By applying to become a Fellow you will have the opportunity to:

- + Demonstrate your commitment to teaching, learning, and the student experience, through engagement in a practical process that encourages research, reflection and professional development
- + Gain professional recognition for your higher education teaching and/or support for learning practice that is increasingly recognised by international institutions
- + Benchmark your practice against professional standards and sector expectations
- + Identify and celebrate your strengths and achievements through reflecting on your experience

Fellowship is the appropriate category of fellowship to professionally recognise individuals from a wide variety of different contexts whose practice with learners has '**breadth and depth**', enabling them to evidence all PSF 2023 Dimensions. This might be staff with substantive teaching responsibilities or professional staff with responsibilities to support HE learning across all Dimensions. It is **not role dependent**; you will need to explore whether you have sufficient evidence of appropriate teaching and or/support for learning practice at the 'depth and breadth' required to make a successful application.

We recommend that prior to starting your application you use our free **online Fellowship Category Tool (FCT)**. Answering the online questions about your higher education teaching and/or support for learning practice should:

- + help you to check that Fellowship is the best match for your current practice
- + prompt your thinking about different aspects of your practice as you plan your application.

The **fee** for a direct application for Fellowship is on the Advance HE website and is subject to annual review.

1.1 Higher Education and eligibility for Fellowship

The PSF 2023 sets out the **professional standards for higher education (HE)**. All the experience and evidence included in an application for Fellowship **must** relate to teaching and/or support for learning practice related to **higher education** provision, such as:

- + level 4 or above within the **Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ)** in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, or **equivalent**
- + level 7 or above within the **Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework (SCQF)** in Scotland on the Framework for Qualifications of Higher Education Institutions (FQHEIS) or **equivalent**
- + first cycle or above of the **Qualifications Framework in the European Higher Education Area (QF-EHEA)**
- + level 5 or above of the **Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF)** or **equivalent**
- + level 5 or above of the **New Zealand Qualification Framework (NZQF)** or **equivalent**
- + other equivalent higher education frameworks
- + activity focused on supporting learners within a Higher Education context, providing the learner(s) are enrolled on a Higher Education Qualification may also be considered as evidence equivalent to the higher education frameworks above
- + delivery of some **non-accredited continuing professional development** for academic and learning support staff may also be considered as evidence equivalent to the higher education frameworks.

The PSF 2023 acknowledges the wide variety of local and global contexts in which higher education operates, and the diverse practices and roles that contribute to high-quality learning.

In defining what constitutes higher education teaching and learning within different national contexts in order to determine eligibility for fellowship, Advance HE uses **UK ENIC** (UK National Information Centre) for information about global education frameworks and qualifications. Programmes will be defined as HE for the purposes of staff teaching and supporting learning on the programme being eligible for fellowship if they are an integral part of a programme defined as higher education within the context of the country of study.

The definition of what constitutes higher education has been further defined for staff in **UK and Australasia**. If you are unsure whether the examples of practice within your application meets the eligibility requirements above, please contact fellowship@advance-he.ac.uk


2. The Professional Standards Framework 2023 (PSF 2023)

The **Professional Standards Framework 2023 (PSF 2023) for teaching and supporting learning in higher education** provides a comprehensive set of professional standards and guidelines for all those who are involved in teaching and supporting learning in higher education. The PSF 2023 can be used by individuals to plan their development and evidence their practice to achieve professional recognition, by institutions as a basis for initial and continuing professional development and recognition programmes, and at a national level to improve teaching quality and celebrate success.

The PSF 2023 acknowledges the wide variety of local and global contexts in which higher education operates, and the diverse practices and roles that contribute to high-quality learning.

The Professional Standards Framework (PSF) 2023 consists of two components: **Descriptors and Dimensions**.

Figure 1: Professional Standards Framework (PSF) 2023



The **PSF Descriptors (PSF, p6)** are a set of criteria statements (referred to as Descriptor 'criteria'). These define the key characteristics of four broad categories of practice. Incorporating the Dimensions, each Descriptor identifies the extent of practice required to

meet it and recognises the variety of practice and roles undertaken by professionals who teach and/or support learning. Each Descriptor consists of an introduction and a set of three criteria statements.

The Dimensions of the Framework (PSF 2023, p4) are arranged as **three related sets** of five **Professional Values**, five forms of **Core Knowledge** and five **Areas of Activity**.

Professional Values (V1-5): underpin all forms of Core Knowledge and Areas of Activity. They are the foundation of professional practice.

Core Knowledge (K1-5): informed by the Professional Values, representing key forms of knowledge required to undertake the Areas of Activity. There are multiple and diverse forms of knowledge which are connected to and shaped by communities and contexts.

Areas of Activity (A1-5): bring together the Professional Values and forms of Core Knowledge, showing the essential activities that support delivery of effective practice.

Advance HE operates the **fellowship scheme** and aligns its fellowships to the PSF 2023 Descriptors as follows:

- + Descriptor 1 (D1) aligns to Associate Fellow
- + **Descriptor 2 (D2) aligns to Fellow**
- + Descriptor 3 (D3) aligns to Senior Fellow
- + Descriptor 4 (D4) aligns to Principal Fellow

An individual providing teaching and/or support for learning can be recognised by Advance HE depending on their professional practice and experience at one of four categories of fellowship. This guidance document is focussed on **Fellowship (Descriptor 2)**.


To achieve Fellowship, you need to evidence that your teaching and/or support of learning practice demonstrates the requirements of the three **Descriptor 2 (D2)** criteria, which are as follows:

Descriptor 2 is suitable for individuals whose practice with learners has **breadth and depth**, enabling them to **evidence all Dimensions**. **Effectiveness of practice** in teaching and/or support of high- quality learning is demonstrated **through evidence of:**

- + **D2.1:** use of all five Professional Values
- + **D2.2:** application of all five forms of Core Knowledge
- + **D2.3:** effective and inclusive practice in all five Areas of Activity

As shown above, the Descriptor 2 criteria statements incorporate all 15 PSF 2023 Dimensions as shown below in Figure 2.


Figure 2: PSF 2023 Dimensions of the Framework



3. Developing your application

In planning and developing your application, we recommend that you access the Advance HE resources and follow the sequence of activities set out in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3 – Steps in developing your application



Step 1

Section 2 above explains the Professional Standards Framework (PSF) 2023. Your application will be reviewed against Descriptor 2 of this framework.

Before starting to use this guide, we advise you to complete the **Advance HE Fellowship Category Tool (PSF 2023 version)**, which is free to use on the Advance HE website [here](#).

The Fellowship Category Tool (FCT) consists of a set of statements that are aligned to the different PSF 2023 Dimensions of the Framework and Descriptors. This self-analysis tool will ask about your professional activities in teaching and/or supporting learning in higher education (HE). By using the tool to consider your current and recent practice, your choice of statements as you progress should help to confirm that Fellowship (Descriptor 2) is the most appropriate category of fellowship for you. Please note that the accuracy of the tool depends on the choices you select as you work through it. As you look through the guidance documents, if you feel that you are not yet able to provide sufficient evidence for Descriptor 2 then you can use the report provided by undertaking the FCT to guide the development of your practice further before making a successful application.

In your **Fellow applicant pack**, you will have the following:

- + Guide to the PSF 2023 Dimensions –Fellowship (D2)
- + Fellowship Applicant Guidance (this document)
- + Fellowship Draft Application Template
- + Guidance for Referees writing a Supporting Statement and associated Supporting Statement Template (for use by your referee)
- + Professional Standards Framework (PSF) 2023

Step 2

Guide to the PSF 2023 Dimensions - Fellowship (D2)

We recommend that you start by reading the Advance HE 'Guide to the PSF 2023 Dimensions - Fellowship (D2)'. This guide introduces and explains the PSF 2023 and then focuses on each of the 15 PSF 2023 Dimensions to support you in thinking about your practice and identifying potential evidence appropriate to PSF 2023 **Descriptor 2 (D2)**, which is the basis for the award of **Fellowship**.

This Guide focuses on each Dimension in great detail. We include lots of different examples of practice to reflect the wide range of roles and contexts in which higher education practitioners work within the global higher education sector. There are also prompt questions to help you to think about your practice in relation to each Dimension.

The questions and examples provided are **indicative only** and may not be relevant to your practice; you may have different appropriate examples, depending on your own unique context. You should focus your use of the 'Guide' on the guidance, questions and examples that are most relevant to you and your context, to identify evidence to use in your application.

Fellow applicant guidance

Once you have identified how **in your context** you have used appropriate Professional Values, applied Core Knowledge and demonstrated effective and inclusive practice in all five Areas of Activity within your practice, you should then start to use this applicant guidance document to understand the format and requirements of the application as well as how to submit an application.

Fellow Draft Application Template (in the applicant pack you downloaded)

Use this Word document template to write and finalise your draft application offline, prior to using our online application system to submit your application.

Step 3

Once your draft application is finalised within the template, you need to share this with your two referees. They will then be able to use the 'Guidance for Referees writing a Supporting Statement' and associated Supporting Statement Template. When you have your two Supporting Statements and are ready to pay the application fee, you are ready to use the My Advance HE portal to submit your application. Information about how to submit is in the '**Submitting your application via MyAdvance HE**' guide on the MyAdvance HE portal.

4. Your Account of Professional Practice

There are **two parts** to your direct application for Fellowship:

- + **written Account of Professional Practice;** Context Statement and Reflective Narrative against all five PSF 2023 Areas of Activity (see Section 5)
- + **two Supporting Statements** (see Section 6)

Fellowship is based on meeting Descriptor 2 (D2) of the PSF 2023 and your Account of Professional Practice is the core of your application.

The Account of Professional Practice consists of a Context Statement (see Section 4.2) and a Reflective Narrative against the five PSF 2023 Areas of Activity.

Your **Context Statement** provides a brief summary of your higher education roles, responsibilities and professional experience and your **Reflective Narrative** should provide evidence that your professional practice meets the requirements of PSF 2023 **Descriptor 2**.

Higher education takes many forms and there is considerable variation in the different roles higher educational professionals carry out; for example, in experience, disciplinary background, job role, institutional context, etc. Your Account of Professional Practice is a personal account and its focus throughout should be on your own professional practice; make it personal to your specific, individual and distinctive practice. Your two Supporting Statements will verify and endorse that what you write about in your Account of Professional Practice represents your practice in a genuine way (see Section 6).

The award of Fellowship is based on **evidence of your effective and inclusive practice**; you might briefly mention future plans as part of identifying what has worked well and any changes you plan to make in future, however, your application will be judged on whether your evidence of current and recent practice meets the requirements of Descriptor 2.

In your **Reflective Narrative** you should include appropriate rationale for the approaches you took and evidence the success and effectiveness of your teaching and/or supporting learning practice. Your Reflective Narrative should be based around real examples from your practice and should make clear **what** you did, **why/how** you did it that way and **how you know** that this was **effective**.

Writing about the 'why you did it that way' will enable you to provide **evidence for V3**: use scholarship, or research, or professional learning, or other evidence-informed approaches as a basis for effective practice.

Provide **selective** examples of practice in your Reflective Narrative and ensure they have direct relevance to your claim for Fellowship. The quality of the examples of evidence is much more important than the quantity (see Section 4.3).

Examples should be drawn from current and recent practice (**within the last 3 years**) and must relate to higher education teaching and/or supporting learning. If you need to refer back to practice from more than 3 years ago, you need to ensure that you focus on how this now influences your current practice.

4.1 Your Account of Professional Practice is a personal account

Think of your application as a 'claim'; you are making a claim that your work is effective and has a positive impact on student learning. Your application will be reviewed by two experienced peer reviewers and you need to show these reviewers that there is a clear rationale behind the way you work and the choices you make in your practice.

Write in the first person (use 'I') so it makes clear what **you** do/ have done. Try to avoid discussing teaching in general or abstract terms as this moves the application away from being about **you and your own personal practice** and will not help your personal 'claim' against Descriptor 2. Where you include work you did as part of a team/with colleagues/ others (V5), you need to be clear what your personal contribution was.

Your application must be submitted in **English** (please refer to the Fellowship FAQ document for further information).

Please note that the Advance HE **online application system only accepts plain text**; it will remove any formatting from your text and **will not** accept diagrams, images, hyperlinks or any other documents/appendices such as curriculum vitae/resume.

4.2 Context Statement

A **Context Statement** (up to 300 words) is the first part of your Account of Professional Practice and you should **briefly** introduce yourself and **outline** your role(s) and responsibilities in teaching and/or supporting learning in higher education. The information in your Context Statement will help the reviewers to understand the nature of your work and the context in which you practice, before they look at the evidence you provide in your Reflective Narrative against the PSF 2023 Descriptor 2; this section provides the **background** to your Reflective Narrative and is not 'assessed' against Descriptor 2.

In no more than 300 words your Context Statement should:

- + Provide a **brief** summary of your teaching and/or support of learning experience, including the context in which you currently work, your current role and responsibilities in teaching and/or support of learning. Identify the type and location of institution(s) you work for, as this will help to set out the context for your work, but keep this brief and relevant to your role. Do not include details about the history and prestige of the institution(s), as your Account of Professional Practice is about you and your practice;
- + Identify the learners that you work with; for example, the level of study (e.g. year of study, undergraduate, postgraduate, etc.), programme(s)/unit(s) of study, discipline/specialist area of work, number and types of learners, etc.;
- + Focus on your **current or recent practice**, which should be within the last 3 years. Please remember that your application for Fellowship is based on your **higher education practice**; if you also work in other teaching or learning roles outside of higher education you should not include this in your application (please refer to Section 1.1 for further information about eligibility for Fellowship).

As the Context Statement is not an assessed part of your application, you do not need to link this information to the PSF. The reviewers will not take account of the information in the Context Statement when they assess your application; therefore, it **cannot** be used to provide supplementary information that would add evidence of effective practice to your 'claim' for Fellowship in your Reflective Narrative (i.e. it cannot be used to extend the word limit of your Reflective Narrative).

The two examples below illustrate the types of information that are useful to include in the Context Statement to set the background to your practice:

Example 1:

I am a Clinical Nurse Educator, with a specialist background in Accident and Emergency (A and E) medicine. I remain a Registered Nurse, practicing in my clinical setting, but also am a member of the Clinical Faculty at the University of X as part of my role.

For the last three years I have been teaching undergraduate (UG) nursing students from all three year groups at the University across a variety of modules.

My teaching currently includes theory based lectures to groups of around 90 students and small group teaching to between 10-20 students. The small group teaching involves practical sessions in a purpose-built simulation suite; this emulates real situations, as well as the routine procedures, that students will face working in the hospital. I have also been involved in creating video and film based learning materials and e-learning resources.

In my faculty role I am involved in all aspects of teaching and learning, including curriculum design and development. Within my work at the teaching hospital I oversee nursing students' clinical practice and act as a mentor. I also support the professional development of my fellow nurses.

Example 2:

I am a Lecturer in Geography within the School of Geography and the Environment at the University of X, a large modern university with a main campus in X. I also teach students at our international campus in Y during a three-week annual visit.

I first taught part-time while a Research Fellow at the University of Y for a year and then joined the University of X three years ago as a full time lecturer.

I teach at both undergraduate and postgraduate level across all year groups. At the start of this academic year I became the Module Leader for the Global Environmental Change module having previously been co-Module Leader for the Research Skills in Physical Geography module; both modules in the second year of the undergraduate programme.

My current teaching consists of:

- + Postgraduate: (2 modules listed)
- + Undergraduate: (5 modules listed)

I lecture to groups of around 80 undergraduate and 30 postgraduate students weekly. I also undertake weekly seminars with groups of 10-15 students and act as a personal tutor to 8 undergraduate students. In addition, I am currently supervising 2 PhD students.

We are currently in the process of redesigning our undergraduate curriculum and I am leading on the development and validation of two new second year modules.

4.3 Structuring your Reflective Narrative

The written **Reflective Narrative** is structured into five sections; you write about your practice in relation to each of the five PSF 2023 Areas of Activity (see Section 5 below for further guidance).

As well as covering the five Areas of Activity (D2.3), to address D2.1 and D2.2 you also need to make sure that across the five sections of the Reflective Narrative you include evidence that you are effectively using all five Professional Values and applying all five forms of Core Knowledge.

Please remember to continue to use the Guide to Understanding the PSF 2023 Dimensions – Fellowship (D2) to support you in making links between your practice and the most relevant Dimensions you evidenced in each example as you develop your narrative.

As you provide evidence against the Dimensions, you should highlight this where applicable. One way to do this is to refer to the Dimension in your text, e.g. (V1) or (K1) etc. Ensure that you only link to the **most relevant Dimensions** in each case (e.g. one or two that you have engaged with in a meaningful way in that specific example of practice) and avoid producing long lists of links to Dimensions (e.g. K1, K2, K4, V1, V2, V3) as this *will not demonstrate your meaningful engagement* with the Dimensions.

When deciding which examples of practice you are going to use in each Area of Activity (A1-5) it is important that you don't rely on the same examples as this is unlikely to provide *sufficient* evidence that your practice meets all the requirements of Descriptor 2, where evidence of the **depth and breadth** of your engagement with the Dimensions is required. However, select your examples carefully as too many examples will restrict your ability to demonstrate your successful and effective practice against each Area of Activity in order to make a strong 'claim' against Descriptor 2.

Within the limited words available you will not be able to write about everything you do and **must be selective** about the examples you choose to write about. Select examples that best exemplify your work in each Area of Activity and which also allow you to demonstrate application of Core Knowledge and use of Professional Values (see Section 4.4 below related to word limit).

4.4 Reflective Narrative word limit

The overall word limit for the **Reflective Narrative is 3,000 words plus citations (500 words); overall maximum 3,500 words**. Please note that the Advance HE online system will not exceed this limit.

Although you can choose how to spread the balance of the word limit across your Reflective Narrative, we recommend that you should aim to use around 600 words in each of the five sections plus citations.

4.5 Reflect on your practice throughout your Reflective Narrative

Developing your Reflective Narrative requires you to identify/gather and consider evidence of your practice, reflecting on the impact you have made on student learning. You should avoid long descriptions of what you 'did' and take a **reflective** stance so that it is clear what you did, how/why you did it that way, how you know this was effective and what you will do in future as a result.

A **simple reflective model** for you to use throughout your Reflective Narrative could be to clearly explain:

- + **What** you did (be selective with the examples you choose to include – see Section 4.3)
- + **Why** you did it in this way; clearly explain your approach and justify your choices and decisions (e.g. use of an appropriate evidence-informed approach to suit your context, etc.)
- + **How** you carried out this approach (e.g. including any specific challenges or practical issues you had to overcome)
- + How you **evaluated the effectiveness** of what you did (explain the kinds of 'information' you used to review and evaluate your work including the impact this had on your learners' learning)
- + **What changes** you made as a result of evaluating your effectiveness; for example, engaging in peer review, developing your evidence-base (V3) or engaging in professional development (A5), etc. and applying this learning to enhance your practice (use examples to illustrate).

4.6 Reference list

Throughout your Reflective Narrative you will refer to the sources of information that you have drawn on within your teaching and/or support for learning practice. For example, K2 requires that you bring to your practice a knowledge base of approaches to teaching and/or supporting learning, appropriate to your context. In evidencing K2, you might explain why you chose an approach and how you came to know about it (e.g. journal article, professional development, conference, mentoring from colleagues, etc.).

Where you do refer to a source of information that has influenced your practice, you should 'cite' the reference within your text to provide appropriate credit to the author(s)/organisation. This will enable those reviewing your application to be clear about which sources have influenced and inspired your practice. Whenever you cite in the text, you need to include the full reference in a list at the end of your Reflective Narrative. Please note that footnotes are not accepted by the online application system.

The word limit for the Reference List is 500 words.

This short excerpt from a Fellowship application illustrates how the applicant uses their evidence-base to inform their practice (V3) and includes the full reference in their Reference List:

As part of my plan to 'flip learning' in one of my modules, based on the work I read by Bergmann and Sams (2012) (V3), I had created pre-session materials hosted on our Virtual Learning Environment (VLE) (K4). However, from the VLE analytics I could see that there was poor student engagement with these materials. This resulted in a variety of issues in the face-to-face sessions. Inspired by an article I read (Iwamoto *et al*, 2017), I developed a series of quizzes and short e-tests to create a more engaging VLE environment and to provide formative feedback to support learning (A3). VLE analytics indicated that this change resulted in a substantial increase in student pre-session engagement and student feedback reported that they found these activities to be motivational and fun (K3). Flipped learning is now working much better in this module and I am able to spend session time focussing on extending understanding of the subject through group discussion and problem-solving activities (A2).

Reference List for excerpt:

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip Your Classroom: Reach Every Student in Every Class Every Day. Washington DC: *International Society for Technology in Education*, 120-190

Iwamoto, D., Hargis, J., Taitano, E. and Vuong, K. (2017). Analyzing the efficacy of the testing effect using Kahoot on student performance. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*. (2) 7, 80-93

5. Evidencing the required PSF 2023 Dimensions for Descriptor 2

You should continue to use the ‘**Guide to the PSF 2023 Dimensions – Fellowship (D2)**’ as you progress with your application.

Although the Reflective Narrative for Fellowship (D2) applications is structured around each of the five Areas of Activity (A1-5), this does not mean that the Areas of Activity are more important than the Professional Values or Core Knowledge PSF 2023 Dimensions. The Dimensions are **inter-dependent and integrated** within the context of your professional practice; put simply, the Areas of Activity are what you do, you use the Professional Values to inform and underpin your work and the Core Knowledge is what you need to apply to effectively carry out this work.




Figure 4: Illustrating how the PSF 2023 Dimensions are integrated within Descriptor 2

A short example is given below to illustrate how this might happen in your work:

In designing and planning my sessions for a module (A1), I reviewed my learning materials to identify ways to make them more inclusive and representative of the variety of nationalities and cultures of my learners (V1). I planned some of the learning activities to be online and flexible to promote engagement and active learning (V2). I considered the accessibility of technology I used (K4) and built in self-assessment opportunities to provide formative feedback (A3).

5.1 Examples of practice for each Area of Activity

The 'Guide to the PSF 2023 Dimensions' is your key source of information about what evidence of your **effective and inclusive practice (D2.3)** you might include in your application for Fellowship.

The short examples below are included to help you to understand how in an application the planning of evidence around the Areas of Activity also incorporates use of Professional Values and application of Core Knowledge.

An example to support thinking about A1: Re-designing a module

Sara uses an example of how she redesigned a module as part of her evidence towards A1.

In this example, Sara focuses on a research methods module that she has redesigned. She starts by briefly describing the context; including the subject area, level of study and the reasons for the need to redesign the module. Sara discusses how she decided on the approach to take and how she consulted with other colleagues and students during the development to ensure that she had considered how learning on the module would develop the research skills required and best meet the needs of the students.

Sara explains how the design was influenced by learning gained from a professional learning event she attended and two journal articles on pedagogy within her discipline that she found particularly useful (V3, A5). As part of the redesign, she decided to use a problem based learning approach involving a series of workshops, with each one focused on a particular challenge within the discipline (K2). She used her previous experience in industry to provide some of the case study examples for the workshops (K2, V4).

Sara discusses how she ensured that the module design was appropriate for the level of study in the discipline and how it fitted with the university's quality framework (K5). Finally, she discusses how she evaluated the module to consider the impact on students' learning and why she chose to evaluate it in this way (K3). She identifies what has worked well with plans to change some elements in the future.

An example to support thinking about A2: Teaching across different levels and groups of students

Mitsuki is a lecturer in Management Sciences, having previously worked in a commercial business role.

Mitsuki begins by outlining a range of the types of teaching she does in her discipline, and explains the particular relevance for students at different stages in their studies (K2), drawing on the literature on learning in the discipline to explain the different approaches she takes (V3, K2). Mitsuki understands that she needs to evidence 'breadth and depth' for Descriptor 2 and so she provides several examples of teaching, bringing in evidence of different Professional Values and Core Knowledge. She then critically reflects on two different examples in more depth.

One example involves the interactive lectures Mitsuki has developed to support a large cohort on an introductory management course. She outlines the challenges that she first encountered when teaching large groups and explains how she now engages the students, using interactive methods she read about in two articles from a management education journal (V3, K2). She briefly describes how she uses these methods and the impact this has made on the students' learning (K2). She mentions some short videos she has created on key concepts in the subject area (K1), which her students have reported useful to watch before lectures to support their understanding of complex information (K4). Mitsuki consulted one of the University's disability advisors when she developed the videos to make them as inclusive as possible (V2). She then discusses the impact she has already seen on student learning from her evaluation of this approach (K3).

A second example focuses on Mitsuki's workshop-style sessions in her MBA teaching, where she uses case study scenarios based on her previous experience working in the business field (K2) and from some collaborative research she is engaged in with a major employer (V3, V5). Mitsuki goes on to explain how the learning she has taken from these contexts has influenced her teaching practice. She discusses the diverse range of students in her group and explains how she plans activities and manages the learning environment to ensure that everyone is able to fully participate (V1). She also considers the relevance of the teaching and case studies to the participants' employment prospects and potential future roles as managers (V4).

An example to support thinking about A3: Assessing and feeding back to learners in a Support Services role

Sefa is an experienced member of the learning support team working in disability services. She starts by discussing the diagnostic approaches she uses with students to assess their learning support needs and how she works with them to develop individualised study plans, drawing her professional knowledge about current best practice (V1, K1, K2).

She then discusses working with a module leader who was concerned about whether their assessment approaches were inclusive. Sefa refers to selected literature on inclusivity and assessment that has influenced her practice (V3) and identifies some of the challenges this particular discipline poses for students with particular learning support needs (V2); specifically, in relation to assessment and feedback. She refers to an article about learning in this discipline (V3), discussing how this relates to assessment in particular, and identifies what she has learnt from her previous experience of supporting students in this type of discipline (V3, K1). Sefa explains how she worked with the lecturer to develop material to support the students with the module assessment via the VLE site for the module (V5, K4). Throughout this explanation, Sefa provides explicit information about what her personal role was within this collaboration with the module leader.

In a different example, Sefa discusses how she has worked with a number of students from the Psychology department who are providing volunteer support for students via a website developed within the Student Services department. Sefa mentors them while they work with the department and assesses their work on the site (K4), giving formative feedback that identifies some areas for further development. The students use this experience of volunteering work as part of a project for a third year module; a formal report that reflects on the experience, incorporating Sefa's feedback, is the final summative assessment. She also explains how she carefully constructs her feedback to the students to enable them to relate their experience in this context to their possible future careers (V4).

An example to support thinking about A4: lecturer working in an applied health discipline running an extra-curricular event for students

Jack works in the School of Applied Health Sciences and uses an example of an interdisciplinary event he organised as part of his evidence for A4.

As a lecturer in this field, Jack considers it a priority to ensure that students get an opportunity for inter-professional learning. He briefly explains the type and nature of inter-professional learning in this context and how the literature identifies its importance for these specific students (V3, V4). Outside the normal curriculum, Jack worked with two colleagues last year to run an inter-professional learning conference for students and staff. Applied health practitioners and members of the local patient advisory board also attended. Jack describes his specific role in the team; co-ordinating student participation and collecting/ curating a set of resources to be used by staff and students after the event (K4).

Following the conference, Jack uploaded the resources from the event onto the VLE for students to access (K4). He worked with the University's careers service to provide explicit links from these resources to support career planning for the students (V5). He identifies that a key purpose of the event was to provide an opportunity for students to engage with practitioners from a range of fields and discusses how this presented unique opportunities for participants (K2, V4).

Jack explains how he evaluated the impact the event made on student learning and what he personally learnt, including about the challenges faced by some students in attending events that are not formally timetabled; he identifies what he will do differently next time. (K3, A5).

Jack used some of the resources from the conference in a workshop with students about planning their future careers (K2, V4). He had read a recent paper on the employment of new graduates in the applied health science field and invited a specialist career tutor (for health scientists) to attend. He then encouraged students to make individual appointments with the careers service after the session. Based on very positive feedback from students, he has now incorporated this activity into the annual delivery of this module.

An example to support thinking about A5: continuing professional development to support law students

Omar is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Legal Studies in a university and teaches on a range of courses and levels including foundation, undergraduate and postgraduate. He provides evidence of how he has enhanced his practice from undertaking continuing professional development.

Omar explains how he has attended workshops hosted by the School of Legal Studies and provides details of a training workshop in how to give feedback electronically using the University's Virtual Learning Environment (VLE) (K4). Omar found the workshop and training valuable and very timely as one of his students had a particular learning need. From the training, Omar learnt how to provide audio feedback as well as written using the VLE, and this enabled him to accommodate the needs of his learner (V1). Omar is now using electronic feedback as part of his practice, both audio and written, to feedback on all summative written assessments. He has found that this approach is helpful to support all of his learners and has received very positive comments from his students about receiving feedback in this way.

Omar has also read about other ways to improve his assessment practice to support student learning (V3, K2). For one of the modules he teaches, following his reading he prepared an anonymised assignment with detailed written comments as mock feedback, and distributed it to his students online (K4). Omar noticed that when his students read the comments on the anonymised assignment, they were much more focused on the key points of the assessment requirements and this helped them to understand how they could be applied to their own assignment work. Omar then goes on to provide further commentary about how he has evaluated this approach and the effectiveness of using it (K3).

Each year, Omar attends at least one or two international conferences that cover topics from the areas of law he teaches. Attendance at these conferences widens his perspective and informs his own professional learning about the issues as well as how he teaches his students about them (V4, K1, K2). He draws on this learning to enhance some of the topics in the modules that he teaches. Omar has received encouraging feedback in relation to his teaching. His student evaluation scores have been consistently above the departmental average with very positive comments from the students who have noticed the relevance of the topics to their studies.

The examples above will have helped to illustrate how someone might write about their practice in a Reflective Narrative. You will be writing about your own unique context and using examples and evidence personal to you. Remember to write in first person – use ‘I’ – as shown in the short extract below:

I am responsible for coordinating a number of undergraduate electrical engineering modules within the school of Electrical and Electronic Engineering. I have reviewed all modules that I lead on, and have modified content to ensure topics are delivered in a contextualised manner at the appropriate level (K1).

In the Renewable Energy and Power Systems module, students need to understand new and sustainable sources and their regulations for use. Renewable energy can be hard for students to contextualise, so I incorporated real world and laboratory examples where appropriate to help the students appreciate the wider significance of the subject (K2, V4).

6. Supporting Statements

Your application for Fellowship must be endorsed by **two Supporting Statements from referees**.

The **Supporting Statements endorse** your claim and are used by the reviewers to confirm that your application presents a fair and accurate reflection of your higher education practice. The Supporting Statements are not used by reviewers to fill any gaps in the evidence you provide towards the Descriptor. The reviewers will need to see sufficient evidence of effective practice in your Reflective Narrative to meet Descriptor 2 for them to award Fellowship.

Your referees should work, or have recently worked, closely with you and have first-hand knowledge of your practice so that they are in a position to comment on and endorse your application. Please note that Supporting Statements should reflect a professional relationship; i.e. not be from a family member or based on a personal friendship.

Referees need to have current or recent experience of working in higher education and to be familiar with the PSF 2023; for example, as a holder of one of the four categories of Fellowship, although this is not essential

It is important that you read the Supporting Statement your referees provide, as they may refer to aspects of your practice that could strengthen your application; if this is the case then you could revise parts of your application and ask your referees to check that they are happy to endorse the changes you have made before you submit. When you have received your Supporting Statements, save them so that they are ready for uploading in [MyAdvanceHE](#).

You may be asked to provide an alternative Supporting Statement or two new Supporting Statements for a variety of reasons when reviewers of your application judge that one or both of these statements do not fully verify and endorse the evidence in your application.

6.1 Guidance for referees

Provide your referees with a copy of the **Guidance for Referees writing a Supporting Statement – Fellowship** and the **Supporting Statement template**; both of these documents will be in the applicant pack you downloaded. The Supporting Statement must be written in the Advance HE template.

In their Supporting Statement, your referee will need to confirm that you have represented your practice accurately and fairly. Therefore, your referee needs to **be provided with the final draft of your application** to enable them to provide an effective reference. In their Supporting Statements each referee will confirm that in their professional opinion, you demonstrate the requirements of Descriptor 2 and should be awarded Fellowship.

Your referees will be asked to confirm that they have written the Supporting Statement themselves and that the information they provide has been written specifically for your application. They are asked to save their Supporting Statement as a **pdf file** and send it to you so that you can upload it with your application.

Advance HE reserves the right to contact your referees for clarification so please ensure that each Supporting Statement includes verifiable contact details (e.g. name, job title, email address, institution). As part of Advance HE's ongoing quality assurance process, Advance HE routinely checks references for individual authenticity by means of textual review in

Turnitin.com. In addition to the use of anti-plagiarism software, some referees will be contacted to confirm that the Supporting Statement submitted by the applicant through the online MyAdvanceHE application is the same statement that they have prepared and completed. If the professional integrity of the Supporting Statement is in question, the statement will not be accepted which may result in the application being failed.

7. Submitting your Fellowship application via MyAdvanceHE

Once you have finalised your application offline using the Fellowship draft application template and you have your two Supporting Statements, you are ready to submit your application.

Your application is submitted via the **Advance HE online submission process** in your **MyAdvanceHE** account. The screens in the online system guide you through the process and your application will be saved as you progress so you can save sections and return to finally submit it at a later date. Full guidance with screenshots is available on the Fellowship Applications page of **MyAdvanceHE**.

7.1 Application submission process:

1. Check you can sign into your Advance HE's **MyAdvanceHE** account/create an account ready to apply
2. Prepare and write your APP in the Fellowship draft application template offline
3. Obtain two Supporting Statements in the Advance HE template from your referees and save these as pdf files; have these ready to upload
4. When you have all your application documents and payment arrangements ready to progress, follow these steps to submit your application for review:
 - 4.1 Sign in to your **MyAdvanceHE** account
 - 4.2 Choose the tab for 'Fellowship'
 - 4.3 Click on the link to 'Fellowship applications'. You are applying using the applicant guidance and format for **PSF 2023 and must select that option**.
5. Select **new Fellow application PSF 2023** from the menu and follow the instructions which will take you through to the online template to complete
6. Choose how your application will be paid for from the following options:
 - 6.1 I'll pay myself
 - 6.2 My Organisation is paying
 - 6.3 My Organisation has paid in advance (this is only for applicants who have been notified by their institution that they have been include in the pre-payment of a number of applications purchased as part of a contract with Advance HE).
7. Copy and paste the text from the final version of your offline application template onto this online application template
8. Upload your Supporting Statements as pdf files
9. Pay the fee requested.

7.2 Payment for your application

When you upload your application and choose to submit, you will be asked to pay the application fee. Once the fee is paid, your application will be allocated to the next available review panel.

Payment is required by debit or credit card when you submit your application in **MyAdvanceHE**. You can pay this yourself at the time you submit or, if you are receiving financial support from your institution, there is an option for someone else to pay on your behalf (please see Section 7.3 below).

The **fee** for a direct application for Fellowship is on the Advance HE website and is subject to annual review. Employees of **Advance HE Member institutions** will be charged a subsidised rate. If you are unsure of your institution's Advance HE membership status, please check the list of Advance HE member institutions on the **Advance HE website**. If you are still unsure, please contact Advance HE's fellowship team, fellowship@advance-he.ac.uk

Please note that if an Advance HE Member institution chooses to make participation in an institutional Advance HE accredited programme or scheme contractual, any individual wishing to apply directly to Advance HE should choose 'self-employment' as their employment status and use a personal email address. They will be required to pay a full fee for the application; i.e. the fee will not be subsidised by the institutional membership.

7.3 If your institution is paying on your behalf

If your institution is paying for your application, you will need to have selected that option when you started to complete the online application form. You can copy a payment link from **MyAdvanceHE** and forward it to the individual at your organisation responsible for making the payment. They simply follow the link to pay online with a credit or debit card.

If your organisation is unable to pay by card, Advance HE can raise an invoice for them to pay by bank transfer. For payment by this method your organisation will need to provide you with an electronic copy of a Purchase Order, which you upload to **MyAdvanceHE**, in the Invoices area of the My Profile section.

Some institutions pre-pay for multiple applications in advance. If your institution has pre-paid for your application in this way, the contact at your institution will advise about how the pre-payment of the fee operates; please note that your application will not progress to the review process until the pre-payment of the fee is confirmed.

8. How will your application be reviewed?

Your application will be reviewed by two independent reviewers (called a 'panel') as part of a peer review process.

The Advance HE reviewer pool includes education specialists and practitioners from across the international higher education sector. Reviewers are selected for their experience of external review and their understanding of the PSF 2023, as well as for their knowledge and experience of learning and teaching in higher education, including different contexts (e.g. disciplines, professional roles, etc.) and global settings.

All reviewers are Senior or Principal Fellows and are required to undertake an annual cycle of professional development and regular standardisation activities with Advance HE to ensure that their review of your application is based on their up-to-date knowledge and understanding of the requirements of the PSF 2023 and Fellowship.

8.1 How do the reviewers reach their judgement?

The reviewers will be looking for evidence that your practice meets the requirements of Descriptor 2 across your Reflective Narrative and will check that the Supporting Statements provide appropriate endorsement for your application. They will assess your application against each of the Descriptor 2 criteria.

Please note that the judgement is based on the written evidence you provide in the **Reflective Narrative** meeting the requirements of Descriptor 2.

Each reviewer will read your application and will apply the Descriptor 2 criteria to reach an initial independent judgement. The two reviewers then reach a combined consensus judgement.

Full guidance for reviewers with associated review pro-formas are available on the Advance HE website. This information sets out the process reviewers follow to make professional judgements on Fellowship applications.

8.2 How long will the review process take?

An application for Fellowship can be made at any time during the year. The length of time it will take to process your application is dependent on the dates of our review panels and the demand on the fellowship service when you submit your application.

Advance HE holds regular review panels and typically you will be notified about the outcome of your application within 12 weeks.


If your application is not successful following the first review, you will receive feedback from the reviewers and have one opportunity to strengthen your application and resubmit (see below). Once you have resubmitted, you will normally be notified of the final outcome within 6 weeks.

You can check the status of your application by logging into "Fellowship" in [MyAdvanceHE](#).

8.3 Review process and possible outcomes

The diagram below outlines the review process for your application and possible outcomes.

Figure 2: Fellowship application review process. '1' indicates first resubmission process; '2' indicates 'unsuccessful' outcome for resubmission and end of review process



8.4 Outcome of first review

There are two possible outcomes following the first review of your application – Award or Refer.

Outcome 1: Award

If the reviewers' consensus judgement is that your application meets the requirements of Descriptor 2 then you will be awarded Fellowship. You will receive a congratulatory email which will explain how to download your Fellowship certificate from within your [MyAdvanceHE](#) account.

As a Fellow, Advance HE expects you adhere to the [Fellowship Code of Practice](#) and to maintain your professional practice in accordance with the PSF 2023.

Outcome 1: Refer

Should your application be judged as providing insufficient evidence for meeting Descriptor 2, then you will be provided with feedback from the reviewers in a **Panel Outcome and Feedback template**. This feedback will indicate which of the three Descriptor 2 criteria your application has met and explain how your application needs to be strengthened to provide the evidence of the remaining Descriptor 2 criteria.

You will be offered **one** opportunity to resubmit within four weeks, without further charge. In this instance, you will be provided with a template for your resubmission and asked to highlight any changes you make to your original application. Once you submit your revised application, the same reviewers will make a final judgement to either award Fellowship or that the application is unsuccessful.

8.5 Outcome of second review (resubmission)

Outcome 2: Award

If the reviewers' consensus judgement on your resubmission is that your revised application meets the requirements of Descriptor 2 then you will be awarded Fellowship.

Outcome 2: Unsuccessful

If the reviewers judge that despite revisions, your resubmission does not fully meet the requirements of Descriptor 2 then the final judgement is 'unsuccessful'. In this instance, further feedback in **Section 4 of the Panel Outcome and Feedback template** will explain the unsuccessful judgement.

Please note that Section 1 of the Panel Outcome and Feedback template will be updated to show where Descriptor 2 criteria are met in the resubmission. The original reviewer feedback from the first review will **remain unaltered in Section 3** of the form; Section 4 will draw on Section 3 to explain the final outcome.

This is the end of the review process; any future application would incur a full fee.

Please note that any appeal would need to be related to the published Advance HE fellowship review process not being accurately followed and not the outcome of the review.

9. What if I have other questions?

If you have further questions or require further assistance, in the first instance please see our [Frequently Asked Questions](#) on the Advance HE website. Alternatively, please email fellowship@advance-he.ac.uk.



Contact us

Email: communications@advance-he.ac.uk

Website: www.advance-he.ac.uk

 **in f** @AdvanceHE

Advance HE helps HE and research be the best they can be.

We are a member-led, sector-owned charity that works with institutions and higher education across the world to improve higher education for staff, students and society. We are experts in higher education with a particular focus on enhancing teaching and learning, effective governance, leadership development and tackling inequalities through our equality, diversity and inclusion (EDI) work.

Our strategic goals to enhance confidence and trust in HE, address inequalities, promote inclusion and advance education to meet the evolving needs of students and society, support the work of our members and the HE sector.

We deliver our support through professional development programmes and events, Fellowships, awards, student surveys and research, providing strategic change and consultancy services and through membership (including accreditation of teaching and learning, equality charters, knowledge and resources).

Advance HE is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales no. 04931031. Company limited by guarantee registered in Ireland no. 703150. Registered as a charity in England and Wales no. 1101607. Registered as a charity in Scotland no. SC043946. Registered Office: Advance HE, Innovation Way, York Science Park, Heslington, York, YO10 5BR, United Kingdom.

© 2023 Advance HE. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any storage and retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. Such permission will normally be granted for non-commercial, educational purposes provided that due acknowledgement is given.

The Advance HE logo should not be used without our permission. To request copies of this report in large print or in a different format, please contact the Marketing and Communications Team at Advance HE: communications@advance-he.ac.uk